	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/790,664	ESPOSITO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gregory R. Del Cotto	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this a or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject	application. If not included on will be mailed in due course. <b>THIS</b>
1. This communication is responsive to		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1, 2, 4, and 6-9 renumbered 1-	<u>Z</u> .	
3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the:	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.  THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS ( as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( PTO-948) attached		
1)  hereto or 2)  to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date		
Identifying indicla such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 D Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)
2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. 🛛 Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413),
3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No./Mail D 98), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amen	
Paper No./Mail Date 3-04.  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stater	ment of Reasons for Allowance
of Biological Material	9. Other	
•		GREGORY DELCOTTO PRIMARY EXAMINER
		L MINH

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-37 (Rev. 7-05)

Art Unit: 1751

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-7, drawn to a composition effective for dissolving a cured polysulfide resin, classified in class 510, subclass 201.
- II. Claims 8 and 9, drawn to a process for removing cured polymer resin, classified in class 134, subclass 38.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the composition of Group I can be used in a materially different process such as in a method of cleaning laundry.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1751

During a telephone conversation with Arthur J. Plantamura on September 22,

2005, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group

I, claims 1-7. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this

Office action. Claims 8 and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner,

37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

**EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT** 

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes

and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided

by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be

submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview

with Arthur J. Plantamura on September 22, 2005.

The application has been amended as follows:

The Claims:

In claim 1, line 3, delete "a solvent exhibiting a high pernetration and solvency

capacity for polar resins such as polysulfide" and insert – n,n-dimethylacetamide --.

In claim 1, line 5, delete "primary solvent" and insert -- n,n-dimethylacetamide --.

Art Unit: 1751

In claim 1, line 7, delete "(e.g., OH)".

In claim 1, line 9, delete "a soluble amine component exhibiting a high pKa value ≥ 12" and insert – 1,8-diazabicyclo(5.4.0)unde-7-ene --.

In claim 2, line 1, delete "includes" and insert – further comprising --.

In claim 4, line 1, delete "3" and insert – 1 --.

In claim 4, line 1, delete "b" and insert – component (b) --.

In claim 6, line 1, delete "5" and insert – 1 --.

In claim 6, line 1, delete "d" and insert - component (d) --.

In claim 8, line 3, delete "and" and insert – or --.

Cancel claims 3 and 5.

## REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Note that, the Examiner's Amendment is sufficient to place the instant claims in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-7 are directed to an allowable product. Pursuant to the procedures set forth in the Official Gazette notice dated March 26, 1996 (1184 O.G. 86), claims 8 and 9 are, directed to the process of making or using the patentable product, previously withdrawn from consideration as a result of a restriction requirement, are now subject to being rejoined. Claims 8 and 9 are hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Since all claims previously withdrawn from consideration under 37 CFR 1.142 have been rejoined, the restriction requirement set forth above is hereby withdrawn.

**Art Unit: 1751** 

Of the references of record, the most pertinent is Elwell (US 4,362,570). Elwell teaches a solvent for dissolving and removing polysulfide and polysilicone rubber coatings from a metal substrate. The solvent is composed of a mixture of dichloromethane and a minor amount of a chlorotrimethylsilane. See Abstract. However, Elwell does not teach the use of n,n-dimethylacetamide or 1,8-diazabicyclo(5.4.0)unde-7-ene as recited by the instant claims.

None of the references of record, alone or in combination, teach or suggest a composition or method of using such a composition to remove cured polymer resin from a surface containing n,n-dimethylacetamide, a co-solvent, 1,8-diazabicyclo(5.4.0)undec-7-ene, a gelling agent and the other requisite components of the composition in the specific proportions as recited by the instant claims. Accordingly, the prior art fails to teach or suggest a composition and method of using such a composition as recited by the instant claims, the instant claims are deemed allowable.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory R. Del Cotto whose telephone number is (571) 272-1312. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/790,664

Art Unit: 1751

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gregory R. Del Cotto Primary Examiner Art Unit 1751

GRD September 22, 2005